

Committee of Adjustment

Decision of Committee with Reasons Re Application for Minor Variance

Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, ss. 45(8)

Approval Authority: The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

Applicants: Alan McFayden

Location of Property: Part of Lots 11, 12, and 13, Block 8, Registered Plan 159,

municipally known as 35 Second Street, in the Town of

Orangeville, in the County of Dufferin.

Purpose of Application: The applicant is requesting minor variances to reduce the

minimum interior side yard setback from 1.5 metres to 1.2 metres and to permit a 7.52-metre-wide driveway within 11.14 metres of the face of the proposed attached garage located in the rear yard. These variances are to permit the construction of a

two-storey addition at the rear of the existing home.

We, the undersigned, in making the Decision upon this application have considered whether or not the variances requested were minor and desirable for the appropriate development and use of the land and that the general intent and purpose of the zoning by-law and the official plan will be maintained, or in the case of a change in a use of property which is lawfully non-conforming under the by-law as to whether or not this application has met the requirements of Section 45(1) of the Planning Act,

Concur in the following Decision and reasons for the Decision made on the 2nd day of February, 2022.

The Request is hereby: Approved

This Decision:

If **approved:** is subject to the conditions and for the reasons set out on page two of the Notice of Decision of the Committee of Adjustment.

If refused: is for the reasons set out on page two of the Notice of Decision of the Committee of Adjustment.

Scott Wilson, Vice Chair Rita Baldassara, Member Alan Howe, Member

Approved by all members present who concur in this Decision.

Certification Planning Act, 1990, R.S.O. c. P.13, ss. 45(10)

I, Carolina Khan, Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment certify that this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee given on **February 2**, **2022** with respect to the application recorded therein.

Carolina Khan, Secretary-Treasurer Committee of Adjustment

File No. A-02/22 Page 2

This Decision or any condition is subject to appeal to the Ontario Land Tribunal. The applicant, the Minister or any other person or public body who has an interest in the matter may within 20 days of the making of the decision appeal to the Tribunal against the decision of the committee by filing with the Secretary-Treasurer of the committee a notice of appeal setting out the objection to the decision and the reasons in support of the objection accompanied by payment to the Secretary-Treasurer of the fee charged by the Tribunal as payable on an appeal from a committee of adjustment to the Tribunal.

For more information on the prescribed fee and to obtain a copy of the appeal form, please visit the Tribunal website at: https://olt.gov.on.ca/appeals-process/

Due to the COVID-19 declared emergency, the appeal form and payment may be delivered in person to the Town's drop box located outside Town Hall or mailed to:

Carolina Khan, Secretary-Treasurer Committee of Adjustment Town of Orangeville 87 Broadway Orangeville, ON L9W 1K1

Date Decision Mailed: February 7, 2022

Last Day for Appealing this Decision:

February 22, 2022

(Appeals must be received no later than 4:00 p.m. on the above date)

Conditions: None


Reason for Decision:


The Committee has received written and/or oral submission from the following during the hearing and has taken these submissions into consideration.

Report from L. Russell, Senior Planner, Infrastructure Services, dated February 2, 2022.

The Committee supports the report by L. Russell, Senior Planner regarding the application and finds the request to be minor in nature, desirable for the appropriate development or use of the lands and believes that the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan and the Zoning by-law are maintained.

		ZONNO	TABLE		
	I I I I	INE #2 - RESIDEN	VIAL SECOND	DENSITY	
5	A STATE OF THE STA	REQUIRED	EXPLING	PROPOSED	COMPLIANCE
1.	MNAUM LOT AREA	464 m²	761.1 m	701.1 m	YES
2	MNMUM LOT FRONTAGE	15 0 m	13 34 m	13.34 m	YES / EXISTING NON- COMPLIANCE
3.	MINIMUM FRONT YARD	6.0 m	10.50 m	10.30 m	785
4.	MINMUM EXTER DR \$ DE YARD	3.5 m	3 39 m	3,39 m	YES / EXISTING NON- COMPLIANCE
5	MINIMUM INTERIOR SIDE YARD	1,5 m	0.57 m	0.57 m	YES / EXISTING NON- COMPLIANCE
6,	MNMUM REAR YARD	7.0m	39.26 m	23.82 m	YES
7.	MAXIMUM BULDING HEIGHT	9.2m	9.2 m	8.80 m	163
8	MAXMUM COVERAGE	35%	9.54% (22.6 m/l)	24,85 % (189,4m/t	YES







GRADING A DRAINAGE MOTES

I. MIPAUM GRADEMI OF GRASS SWALES ALONG 3 DE AND REAR LO] LINES SHALL BE 2015. THE PROPOSED ELEVATION OF THE 3 DE YARD SHALL BE A MINEMUA OF DE STREETE HE PRINETO GRADE AT THE BULDING LINE. THE MAXMUM SWALE GRADENT SHALL BE 3 0%.

2. TAKE UP REAR YARD GRADE DEFERÊNCE USING SLOPES BEIWEEN 2:0% AND 5:0% FOR A MAXIMUM OF 75% OF THE REAR YARD AND SLOPES OF 3 HOREOWAL AND 1 VERTICAL MAXIMUM TO THE REAR LOT LINE

3. EDGE OF THE ORMEWAYS ARE A MINIMUM OF I OM FROM EDGE OF STREET CATCH BASIN AND SIREET HISINFURE. THE BULLDER E TO YEATY THE LOCATION AND TO MORAN HIS ENGINEER E HER DIMENSION CANNOT BE MANIMARED FRUIT OF EXCAVALIDIN FOR THE FOOTINGS. A BECKEPE SHALL BE OLDSWIN MY ANOVE SOCIETY AT DIS

5 ALL NEW HAICES RECKIRED ARBITROS DUCE L'ANDS AND DE EXE ING PROPRIES SHALL SE CONSTRUCTED WITH MATERIAL INCLUDING FOUNDAT DAS COMPLETELY ON PRIMATE LANDS AND TOTALL! "CLUAR OF ANY USER RESERVES.

6 SOL CONSULTANTS VERIFIED AND REQUIRED FOR FOOTINGS CONSTRUCTED ON ENGINEERING TILL LOTS.

7. THE SULDER MUST VERFY THE AS CONSTRUCTED ON SANIFARY AND STORY SERVICES INVESTS AND INFORM THEENG NEER FITHE MINMUM 20% CRADE CANNOT BE MANTANED PRIOR TO PLACING O' CONCRETE (DOTING, B. SULDER ID VEHT LOCATION AL. HTURANIS, SIREFILIGHIS
TRANSFORMERS AND OTHER SERVICES. FMINIMUM CIMENS DNS ARE NOT

MANUACKARD WILDER BY TO STROCKE THE CAN DISCARD 9) BUILDER TO STALE OUT DRIVEWAY CURB DEPRESSION AT TIME OF TO? CURS INSTALLATION,

10. HIE PROPOSE 2 GRADING AND APPUNIENANT DRAINAGE WORKS COMPLY WITH SOUND ENGINEERING PRINCIPALS.

11 THE PROPOSED GRADING IS IN CONFORMEY WITH THE GRADING THANS APPROVED FOR THE LO SPECIFIC AND WILL NOT ADVERSELY AFFICE ADJACENT LANDS

13.THE PROPERTY SHOULD BE COMPARED WHEN THE PROPERTY COMPANY. 13. THE BUILDER & RESPONSIBLE FOR RELOCATION OF ALL UTLINES ON THE SIT, WHICH ARE NOT 1,0 AFTERS OF FAR TROMITTIES OF DRIVING., INCLUDING STREET CATCH 6AS IN

14. ATROVED PIRME BRAWINGS AND CONSTRUCTION NORS MIST BE REVEWED AND COLUMNED IN CONLINCTION WITH HIS BRIG AND GRAND REPAIR AUDISE OF WEIGH WILLIAMS CONFIDER AN MARCIES APPROVED BRAWINGS A COMBRICTION NOTIS PEDRING THE MEDICAL PROCESSING AREA AND ADDRESS AREA AND SECOND RESERVED BRAWINGS AS COMBRICTION NOTIS PEDRING AND CONCRETE EINERS ARE AND SECOND AND ADDRESS THE AREA OF SECOND AND ADDRESS AN

PARET CHYLDRIGH, SO, SPOLENDE DICKLER ON CEITOR SCENE). ALL
LAW ACT KARIS ON PO TOT, IN. SHICK IN CH'S "SHICK DONA NAME AND
ACT AND SHICK IN CHIEF SHOOS SHICK SHICK SHOOS DONA
ON CHIEF SHICK S

THE BULDER, FATELAND AGESTS THAT BY REQUESTING THE LOT GRADING CERTIFICATION AROUND HER AVAILABLETY OF INVESTIGATION, THE BULDER AFFECTION AROUND HER AVAILABLETY OF INVESTIGATION AROUND A FORT MAIL COMMICTATION SOLICE AND FORT MAIL COMMICTATION SOLICE OTHER LOT SOLICE AROUND A FORT MAIL COMMICTATION SOLICE OF THE LOT THAT SOLICE COMMICTION DEVALUATIONS AT THE STREET, AND A SOLICE AROUND A SOLICE

SUMP FUMP TO SE CONNECTED TO THE STORM SERVICE WITH A SONOR ARE GAP AS PER TOWN STANDARDS.

LEGEND: (395.70) - PROPOSED ELEVATION | BELL BELL PEDESTAL 394 82 - EXISTING ELEVATION ■ JP UTILITY POLE ■HP HYDRO POLE - SLOPE CB CATCHBASIN - D RECTION OF FLOW OMH MANHOLE - FERFORATED FIFE FIRE HYDRANT TP1 CO - TCST PIT BOLLARD 0 -)GW GUY WIRE - WHI LIGHT STANDARD

· AREA OF SAND **M**GV GAS VALVE □GM GAS METER AREA OF STONE MONFORING WELL

₩V-V WATER VALVE - TREELINE

⊗ IPI 🔀

- RA NWATER LEADER (R) RANWATER LEADER

WELL

CO PREMI ONASA CESEN 8 2021
RESE COMMINGS ARE NOW AND DO REMA
PARE SULF PROVISE OF DAMA OR ANY FORM OF
NO. US OF BREE FLANG OR ANY FORM OF
RESPONDATION OF THE DEEDN OF HOME
OR IN FART WINDO, I PAPIES WE'T'N
CON SORT PROMISED AND SMILL
ON SORT PROMISED AND SMILL
WHO SOLD THE STREET OF
HOME OF THE STREET OF THE SMILL
WHO SOLD THE STREET OF
HOME OF THE STREET OF THE SMILL
WHO SOLD THE SMILL OF
HOME OF THE STREET OF THE SMILL
WHO SOLD THE SMILL OF
HOME OF THE SMILL OF THE SMILL
WHO SOLD THE SMILL OF
HOME OF THE SMILL OF THE SMILL
WHO SMILL OF THE SMILL OF
HOME OF THE SMILL OF THE SMILL
WHO SMILL OF THE SMILL OF
HOME OF 12/01/202 Checked by REVISIONS

PROPOSED

SITE PLAN

ASP-1

35 SECOND STREET

Date

PROJECT NAME

PROJECT ADDRESS

CLIENT

ARCHITECT

35 SECOND STREET

35 SECOND STREET

ORANGEVILLE, ON

MASON WILMOT

KHALSA DESIGN INC.

KHALSA

BRAMPTON, ON 1ELEPHONE: 847-468-2940

CONSULTANTS: